A gut feeling: Microbiome-brain-immune interactions modulate social and affective behaviors
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A B S T R A C T

The expression of a wide range of social and affective behaviors, including aggression and investigation, as well as anxiety- and depressive-like behaviors, involves interactions among many different physiological systems, including the neuroendocrine and immune systems. Recent work suggests that the gut microbiome may also play a critical role in modulating behavior and likely functions as an important integrator across physiological systems. Microbes within the gut may communicate with the brain via both neural and humoral pathways, providing numerous avenues of research in the area of the gut-brain axis. We are now just beginning to understand the intricate relationships among the brain, microbiome, and immune system and how they work in concert to influence behavior. The effects of different forms of experience (e.g., changes in diet, immune challenge, and psychological stress) on the brain, gut microbiome, and the immune system have often been studied independent of one another. Though because these systems do not work in isolation, it is essential to shift our focus to the connections among them as we move forward in our investigations of the gut-brain axis, the shaping of behavioral phenotypes, and the possible clinical implications of these interactions. This review summarizes the recent progress the field has made in understanding the important role the gut microbiome plays in the modulation of social and affective behaviors, as well as some of the intricate mechanisms by which the microbiome may be communicating with the brain and immune system.

1. Introduction

A wide variety of experiences can influence physiology and behavior, in order to produce appropriate behavioral responses, neuroendocrine circuits must integrate sensory stimuli with internal physiology. Research has shown that even modest activation of the immune system early in life may increase susceptibility to a range of nervous system disorders and can influence the physiological and behavioral responses to challenges later in life (Bilbo and Schwarz, 2009; Harvey and Boksa, 2012; Knox et al., 2009). Such studies investigating early-life stress, as well as adult stress, often focus on the neuroendocrine and immune systems alone to explain the behavioral phenotype, including aggression, investigation, exploration, and anxiety- and depressive-like behaviors. More recent work, however, suggests that the gut-brain axis (i.e., the bidirectional communication between the brain and gastrointestinal tract) is capable of interacting with these physiological systems and it too may facilitate behavioral responses (Collins and Bercik, 2014; Cryan and O’Mahony, 2011).

Inside the mammalian body lies a complex ecological community, termed the microbiota, consisting of commensal, symbiotic, and pathogenic bacteria, fungi, and viruses. The microbial genome of these microorganisms is termed the microbiome. This system of living microorganisms is critical for mammalian survival (Lee and Mazmanian, 2010), and interestingly, most of these microbes reside in the large intestine of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (Wallace et al., 2011). More importantly, the microbiome may play a critical role in modulating behavior by connecting the neuroendocrine and immune systems (Fig. 1) through both direct (e.g., vagus nerve) and indirect (e.g., cytokines) mechanisms. Further, the gut microbiome develops in parallel with the neonatal central nervous system (CNS) via the transmission of signals from the vagus nerve (the major nerve of the parasympathetic nervous system) to the GI tract, therefore perturbations of either system have the potential to affect both (Clarke et al., 2014; Cryan and O’Mahony, 2011; see Table 1).

Later in life, these systems can influence one another as well. For example, adult house mice challenged with the common GI pathogen, \textit{Campylobacter jejuni} (\textit{C. jejuni}), exhibit increased anxiety in a standardized behavioral assay, suggesting that information from the gut may...
be transferred to the brain to mediate behavior via direct or indirect routes (Goehler et al., 2008). The gut microbiome also plays a direct role in immunity and is therefore likely to explain some of the variation in behavioral responses to infection. The underlying physiological changes that occur during an immune challenge and the potential ways in which crosstalk among the immune system, microbiome, and endocrine system takes place are important factors in explaining how behavior is regulated (Fig. 1). The behavioral effects of both early-life and adult experience on the brain, gut microbiome, and immune system have often been studied independently, but because these systems do not operate in isolation, it is essential to elucidate the connections and interactions between them. Therefore, this review will focus on the state of current research surrounding the role of the interactions among the gut microbiome, the immune system, and the neuroendocrine system in modulating social and affective behaviors.

2. Overview of the immune system

2.1. Inflammation and the brain

In order for the body to maintain a state of balance in times of immune challenge, it must appropriately integrate information received and convey it to the brain to coordinate proper physiological and behavioral responses. Treatment with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a cell wall component of gram-negative bacteria, is commonly employed to induce a robust immune response in animals, triggering an increase in circulating glucocorticoids (e.g., cortisol) and pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., interleukin [IL]-1β, tumor necrosis factor [TNF]-α), which can impair development of many physiological systems (Bilbo and Schwarz, 2012; French et al., 2013). When LPS is injected into the peritoneal cavity, it enters circulation within 15 min and levels stay elevated for at least two hours following injection (Hansen et al., 2000).

The release of LPS mimics a bacterial infection, by binding to the toll-like receptor (TLR)-4, which stimulates the activation of transcription factors and subsequent pro-inflammatory mediators in the body, particularly cytokines, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, TNF-α, and interferons (IFNs) that act on the brain. These processes initiate the acute-phase response (APR), including fever, lethargy, decreased food intake, and enhanced pain response (Harvey and Boksa, 2012; Perry, 2004; Quan and Banks, 2007). Interestingly, these physiological and behavioral responses to LPS (or other immune challenges) are similar to those described in CNS disorders (e.g., autism spectrum disorder, depression, anxiety) and are of particular interest when thinking about the relationships between the gut-brain axis and the immune system (Cryan and O’Mahony, 2011; Harvey and Boksa, 2012; Perry, 2004; Quan and Banks, 2007).

2.2. Behavioral responses to inflammation

Cytokines play a vital role in the response to immune challenge, as they can mediate changes in behavior, though age can be a particularly important factor in the behavioral response. For example, treatment with LPS can cause an increase in both IL-2 and IL-1α in male and female rats (Costalonga and Zell, 2007), however, the age in which animals are exposed influences their response greatly. In particular, rats treated with IL-2 at three weeks of age exhibit enhanced locomotor activity and greater levels of exploration, whereas rats treated with IL-1α at eight weeks of age show an increase in startle response and an increase in investigative behavior, but no changes in locomotion (Tohmi et al., 2004). This suggests that the release of specific cytokines as a result of immune activation at particular time points in an individual’s life can influence the amount and duration of some behaviors, though the precise mechanisms have not yet been determined. Further, work from our lab suggests that in Siberian hamsters (Phodopus sungorus), LPS exposure in the early postnatal period affects...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study system</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Manipulation</th>
<th>Behavior &amp; physiological changes</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter jejuni</td>
<td>M and F</td>
<td>Antibiotic treatment</td>
<td>Altered gut microbial communities; sexually dimorphic responses; two, but not one, treatment altered offspring male and female responses</td>
<td>Sylvia et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Antibiotic treatment &amp; SPF conditions</td>
<td>Altered the gut microbiome and increased exploration without an inflammatory response</td>
<td>Bercik et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6J mice</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Maternal antibiotics</td>
<td>Reduced locomotion and reduced time exploring at four and eight weeks of age</td>
<td>Tochitani et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. infantis</td>
<td>M and F</td>
<td>Luminal melatonin</td>
<td>Reduced anxiety- and depression-like behaviors, but vagotomy prevented these changes</td>
<td>Desbonnet et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALB/c mice</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Maternal transplantation with HFD microbiota</td>
<td>HFD females produced pups that vocalized less upon maternal separation, and males exhibited changes in exploration, cognition, and compulsive behaviors</td>
<td>Bruce-Keller et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male C57BL/6J and female 129S1/SvImJ mice</td>
<td>Sex differences</td>
<td>Maternal immune challenge and offspring Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>Pups of immune challenged dams who were given B. fragilis showed recovery of their gut microbiome challenge and offspring Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>Hsiao et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Webster mice</td>
<td>M and F</td>
<td>GF vs SPF</td>
<td>Increased permeability of the BBB during development and adulthood due to fewer tight junction proteins and altered microvilli in GF mice</td>
<td>Neufeld et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long–Evans rats</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Treatment with intraventricular phynacolonic (PPA)</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity, repetitive dynamic feeding behaviors, increased expression of BrdU, increased expression of inflammatory cytokines, and increased oxidative stress</td>
<td>MacBride et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Sprague-Dawley rats</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Treatment with intracerebroventricular (ICV) substance P (SP)</td>
<td>Increased anti-depressive behaviors and if NPY receptors are blocked, the effect is lost. In addition, NPY increased the expression of BDNF in GF mice.</td>
<td>Hsiao et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>M and F</td>
<td>Antibiotic treatment and L. paracasei</td>
<td>Antibiotics increased SP immunoreactivity in the colon, but L. paracasei reduced SP in antibiotic-treated mice</td>
<td>Verdu et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Webster mice</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>GF vs SPF</td>
<td>Heightened anxiety in GF mice; decreased expression of 5-HT receptor 1A and increased expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the hippocampus in GF mice.</td>
<td>Neufeld et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long–Evans rats</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Treatment with intraventricular propionic acid (PPA)</td>
<td>Hyperactivity; repetitive dystonic behaviors; increased oxidative stress markers and activated microglia.</td>
<td>MacBride et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss Webster mice</td>
<td>M and F</td>
<td>GF vs SPF</td>
<td>Vasoactive intestinal peptide</td>
<td>Coladonato et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reproductive physiology and behavior in a sex-dependent manner. Specifically, although LPS-treated males show no changes in adult physiology or social behavior, LPS-treated females exhibit heightened levels of pre-copulatory investigation and aggression when paired with male conspecics and altered reproductive physiology (e.g., abnormal estrous cycles and smaller ovaries) in adulthood. They are, however, capable of successfully reproducing, which suggests that some behavioral changes may not coincide with ultimate changes in fitness in some contexts (Sylvia et al., 2018; Sylvia and Demas, 2017).

One potential mechanism mediating the immediate and long-term response to an immune challenge is changes in the blood brain barrier (BBB). For example, after exposure to LPS during development, cytokines can more easily cross the BBB due to increased permeability, making the brain more vulnerable and possibly more responsive (Shanks et al., 1995; Wong et al., 2004). Further, adult male house mice exposed to prenatal stress have increased expression of cytokines in the hippocampus compared to non-stressed individuals, and they produce greater activation of microglia and astrocytes in response to an immune challenge in adulthood as well (Diz-Chaves et al., 2013). As a consequence of this, neural development and synaptic plasticity can be altered, both of which are extremely important in the regulation of behavioral output (Diz-Chaves et al., 2013; Raber et al., 1998). Furthermore, individuals exposed to postnatal LPS show a greater plasma adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) response to adult restraint stress when compared to control-treated neonates (Bilbo and Schwarz, 2012; Shanks et al., 1995), showing support for the long-term effects of early-life immune activation.

Infection during important developmental periods may also result in subtle alterations in the response to subsequent stressors, making individuals more responsive as adults. For example, in one study, postnatal treatment with \( E. \) coli had no effect on immediate memory, however, when adults were later exposed to LPS, they exhibited decreased hippocampal astrocytes, decreased brain IL-1, and impaired recent memory (Bilbo et al., 2005a). Further work by Bilbo et al. suggests that these changes in behavior can be reversed. Specifically, rats treated with \( E. \) coli as neonates that are given a caspase-1 inhibitor, which prevents the synthesis of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1, do not show LPS-induced memory impairment (Bilbo et al., 2005b). These data suggest that the behavioral changes seen after a secondary immune challenge may be partially due to the inflammatory response that occurred during the neonatal immune challenge. The lasting physiological and behavioral effects of an early-life immune challenge, however, are not completely understood.

### 2.3. Connections between the immune system and the microbiome

Much of our understanding of the connections between the microbiome and the host immune system have been the result of studies on germ-free (GF) mice (those with no microbes in or on them) (Hooper et al., 2012). By using this model, we have been able to understand the importance of gut bacterial communities. Further, by supplementing GF mice with specific commensal and pathogenic bacteria, we have learned how different microbes work in concert with the host immune system to mediate responses, both in times of health and disease. The gut also houses its own immune system, which acts to protect against pathogenic bacteria and to create a dynamic environment for beneficial bacteria. For example, immune responses (e.g., virus specific antibody titers and T-cell responses) are significantly decreased in antibiotic-treated C57BL/6 mice exposed to the flu virus; and further, the commensal bacteria in the gut (mainly \( Lactobacillus \) and \( Enterobacter \)) may play a particularly important role in supporting the immune system in response to the virus (Ichinohe et al., 2011). The host immune system works to relay information to the gut in order to maintain homeostasis, and it may be crucial in supporting the microbiome in times of perturbation, such as those described in more detail in Section 3.

### 3. Factors that can influence the gut-brain-behavior axis

#### 3.1. Antibiotics and probiotics

To understand the effects of gut microbial alterations on brain and behavior, many studies have looked at GF mice as a model. In doing so, they are only partially able to determine the role that the microbiome plays in the natural function of the mammalian system. However, antibiotics can be used to manipulate the gut microbiome in order to reveal information about how the natural environment in the gut affects physiology and behavior in a variety of species (Archie and Theis, 2011; Gareau, 2014). For example, Bercik et al. found that antibiotics alter the cecal microbiome of male specific pathogen-free (SPF) mice (mice that are known to be free of disease-causing pathogens, as well as opportunistic and commensal organisms), and it also increases exploratory behavior, but these changes are independent of an inflammatory response (i.e., no changes in TNF-α, IL-1β) (Bercik et al., 2011). Further, maternal treatment with antibiotics during pregnancy can influence offspring behavior. For instance, in one study, male C57BL/6J mouse offspring from antibiotic-treated dams showed reduced locomotor activity and exhibited less time exploring than control pups at four weeks of age. This difference in locomotion and exploration was still evident at eight weeks of age, suggesting that these changes were static (Tochitani et al., 2016).

Moreover, treatment with probiotics (live microorganisms used to supplement the host microbiome) may reverse some of the effects of changes in microbial community composition, and it has been found to influence behavior. In particular, \( Bifidobacteria \) are known to produce neurochemicals that can modulate behavior. For example, treatment with \( Bifidobacterium infantis \) (a common species used in probiotics) is associated with a decrease in peripheral pro-inflammatory cytokines and an increase in tryptophan, \( (5-HT) \)’s precursor (Desbonnet et al., 2009), suggesting that reversing the decrease in microbial diversity often associated with antibiotic treatment can aid in the communication between the brain and the immune system to regulate behavior. Interestingly, treatment with \( Lactobacillus rhamnosus \) (another common probiotic) reduced anxiety- and depression-like behaviors in male BALB/c mice, however, mice that were vagotomized did not exhibit the same reductions in behavior, suggesting the important role that the vagus nerve plays in communication between the gut and the brain (Bravo et al., 2011).

#### 3.2. Maternal diet and care

During the gestation and lactation periods, females expend considerable energy supplying young with the food and nutrients needed to not only nourish offspring, but also to provide many different bioactive compounds that maintain and facilitate growth, modulate the immune system and protect from disease, and aid in the development of a healthy intestinal microbiota (Zivkovic et al., 2011). In times of decreased energy availability or increased energy demands in rodents (e.g., immune challenge), mothers must work even harder to maintain appropriate maternal care to sustain energy stores and provide the necessary nutrients for their young. Changes in maternal behavior and maternal diet can greatly impact offspring physiology and behavior, and both likely play important roles in the crosstalk between the brain and the microbiome.

It has even been suggested that diet can contribute to the development of CNS disorders and pathological disease in adulthood (Altenberg and Wu, 2014). Specifically, in one study, researchers sought to determine whether parental dietary fat consumption during the gestation and lactation periods would affect the immune system of offspring. They found that offspring from parents fed high fat diets had heightened colonic inflammatory responses, increased liver LPS levels, and decreased systemic immune responses to LPS. Further, offspring from the high fat parental diets also showed altered microbiota.
Specifically, high fat groups had lower diversity and a decreased ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes when compared with low fat diet groups (Myles et al., 2013). These data suggest that the parental microbiome can have a substantial influence on the development of the offspring immune response, which could in turn have drastic effects on behavior. In addition, in another study, conventional female mice were transplanted with high fat diet (HFD) microbiota. HFD females produced pups that vocalized less upon maternal separation than control pups, and male offspring from those HFD females exhibited significant changes in exploratory, cognitive, and compulsive behaviors when compared with male offspring from control dams (Bruce-Keller et al., 2017). Interestingly, in adulthood, changes in diet can also influence the microbiome and behavior. For example, adult male BALB/cAnNTac mice fed a HFD exhibited significantly less burrowing behavior and decreased memory compared with control-treated mice (Pyndt Jørgensen et al., 2014). This study and others like it suggest that diet can play a key role in modulating the gut-brain axis, even in adulthood.

Further, maternal stress can influence the vaginal microbiome of the mother, and in turn, affect the offspring microbiome and behavior. For example, in one study, maternal stress decreased the abundance of bacteria, including Lactobacillus, in the vaginal microbiome, and this altered microbiome was transferred to the neonate colon, along with changes in metabolites particularly important in energy balance (Jašarević et al., 2015). Though this study did not measure any changes in behavior, it is likely they would have seen alterations in behavior that coincide with changes in the microbiome.

3.3. Sex hormones

Consideration of the role of sex in the gut-brain axis is extremely important in understanding the development of social behavior, both in the context of normal species-typical behaviors and in health and disease (Foster and McVey Neufeld, 2013). Work in our lab has shown that antibiotic treatment affects the fecal microbial communities in the gut in both sexes (Fig. 2), including decreases in the Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Tenericutes phyla, and that there is a strong sex difference in the behavioral response to antibiotic treatment. Specifically, two, but not one, antibiotic treatment causes marked decreases in aggressive behavior in males, but in females, there is a decrease in aggression after only a single treatment (Sylvia et al., 2016). These findings suggest that females may be more sensitive to stressors, and therefore with a subsequent insult, will exhibit an even more robust change in behavior. It is of further importance to focus on these sex differences because sex hormones (e.g., estradiol and testosterone) affect regulation of motor and sensory function in the GI tract that may be important in the normal function of the gut microbiome (Mulak et al., 2014). Additionally, bowel disorders related to the motility of the GI tract and psychological comorbidities related to these disorders are often more common in women than in men (Mulak et al., 2014), suggesting the intricate role that sex plays in regulation of the gut-brain axis. It has also been suggested that single stressors during the early stages of life do not necessarily produce effects mimicking the entire range of psychopathological disease, but instead, they may produce more subtle changes in behavior that can vary across the sexes (Harvey and Boksa, 2012).

Some of the sexually dimorphic differences seen in behavioral response to stress could be due to variation in the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) response (Handa et al., 1994a). For example, female rats have a greater endocrine response to both physiological and psychological stressors, and females show a higher average concentration of glucocorticoids than males (Viuu et al., 2005). It is believed that gonadal steroid hormones play a role in regulating HPA negative feedback, taking action in multiple areas of the brain (Handa et al., 1994a; Viuu, 2002). In an early study, prepubertally castrated males showed a significant increase in circulating glucocorticoids compared to control (intact) males when faced with ether stress. If exogenous testosterone (T) was administered, however, glucocorticoid levels fell back to those seen in control males (Gaskin and Kitay, 1970). More recent data suggest that T not only decreases the amount of circulating glucocorticoids in response to a stressor, but it also decreases circulating ACTH (Handa et al., 1994b). Following administration of estradiol, both sexes show an increase in ACTH and glucocorticoid secretion when faced with physiological or psychological stressors, possibly due to impairment of receptor-mediated negative feedback at the level of the hypothalamus. In fact, the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus displays estrogen and androgen receptors, further suggesting that sex steroids might be working at the level of the hypothalamus to regulate the release of glucocorticoids, yet the exact role they play has yet to be determined (Handa and Weiser, 2014).

Moreover, gonadal steroids also play an important role in immune modulation and development, as there is sexual dimorphism in immune responses as well (Naor et al., 2009). Estrogens (e.g., 17β-estradiol) may attenuate inflammatory responses in rats, and in contrast, testosterone may exacerbate inflammation (Sribnick et al., 2005). In many animal models, sex differences in CNS diseases seem to emerge later in life, often after a physiological stressor (Tenk et al., 2008). These studies suggest that the HPA and hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axes are important in the regulation of appropriate physiological and behavioral responses in the face of infection and inflammation, yet precisely how the immune system, neuroendocrine system, and likely the gut microbiota interact to mediate these responses is yet to be fully determined.

Interestingly, microbes also have the ability to use sex steroid hormones themselves and can manipulate sex steroid receptor signaling to increase their own survival (reviewed in vom Steeg and Klein, 2016). Research suggests, however, that sex steroids play a greater role in microbial systems after puberty. For example, in one study in C57BL/6 mice, researchers found that at two weeks of age, mice pups displayed sexually dimorphic genes in two segments of the intestine, and in particular, they found that of those genes, some were linked to intestinal bowel disease and colorectal cancer. In their analysis of the intestinal microbiome, although they found no significant difference overall in the colonic luminal microbial composition of males and females at that young age, they did find that there were differences, albeit not significant, in the dominant taxa (i.e., Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes) present in the male and female microbiome that may become more evident after puberty (Steegena et al., 2014). In another study, researchers found that the microbial profiles of males and females arise after puberty, and cecal contents transplants in both sexes can successfully alter the microbiome of the host without a damaging immune response (Markle et al., 2013).

4. The importance of the gut epithelium in the gut-brain axis

4.1. Factors that can influence gut permeability

The gut lumen is an intricate barrier that allows homeostasis between the inside of the gut and the molecules in circulation. It acts as a barrier to allow nutrients, electrolytes, and water from inside the lumen to be absorbed into circulation to prevent pathogens and toxins from entering (reviewed in Kelly et al., 2015). Research shows that the intestinal barrier develops throughout the postnatal period, and changes that take place during this process (e.g., diet, antibiotic use, or exposure to conspecifics) can greatly influence the development of the intestinal barrier and the microbiome (Groschwitz and Hogan, 2009). Early-life stress also affects the permeability of the intestinal barrier. For example, rats exposed to neonatal maternal separation show not only significantly less exploration of a novel object when compared with control rats, but they also show increased mucosal conductance and macromolecular permeability in the gut following mild acute stress (30-min water avoidance) in adulthood, suggesting molecules could more easily pass through the lumen and may have downstream effects.
If however, rats were pre-treated with the corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) antagonist, α-helical CRH (9–41), 20 min before the mild stress, the mucosal changes were completely eliminated (Soderholm et al., 2002), suggesting that the HPA axis may be mediating some of the changes seen in gut permeability, or “leaky gut” leading to changes in behavioral outputs.

Further work suggests that the immune response may also be capable of modulating stress-induced changes in gut permeability. For example in C57Bl/6 and IL-10−/− mice postnatally exposed to maternal separation, IL-10−/− mice show greater severity of colitis in response to maternal separation (e.g., higher concentrations of colonic pro-inflammatory cytokines), and increased colonic permeability when compared with wild type mice (Lennon et al., 2013). These data suggest that, in the face of early-life stress, animals that can mount an appropriate immune response may be better able to overcome stress; however, the precise mechanisms have not been determined.

Sex steroids can also play an important role in maintaining proper gut permeability, however, results in this area is not consistent. Estrogens may play a particularly important role in this regulation. Estrogen receptor beta (ER-β) is the most abundant estrogen receptor in the colon, and epithelial cells in normal colons of Sprague-Dawley rats show significantly higher ERβ expression in both sexes when compared with cells from malignant tumors in the colon. These data suggest that ERβ may play a particularly important role in protection against disease in the colon (Konstantinopoulos et al., 2003). Further work has shown that 17β-estradiol, but not testosterone, progesterone, or 17α-estradiol, has a significant effect on chloride ion (Cl−) secretion in the female rat distal colon. Interestingly, however, when males were treated with 17β-estradiol, it did not alter Cl-secretion in the colon (Condiffe et al., 2001). These data provide evidence that sex may be a critical modulator of the gut epithelium and may play a vital role in the crosstalk between the brain, behavior, and the microbiome. Moreover, melatonin may play a role in maintaining a strong gut lining as well. In one study, administration of luminal melatonin caused a significant decrease in gut permeability by way of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in male Sprague Dawley rats (Sommansson et al., 2013), though the same investigation has not been done in females. All of these studies suggest the intricate relationship between the brain and the gastrointestinal tract, including the gut lining.

4.2. A vulnerable epithelium can lead to changes in physiology and behavior

Though the gut has extensive tolerance mechanisms to prevent the translocation of gut microbes from inside the intestines to outside circulation, these control systems can be broken down, allowing bacteria to elicit damaging changes to the host immune system, and thus behavior. The weakening of the gut epithelium is the basis for many different pathologies and the behavioral comorbidities that go along with them. For example, in times of chronic stress, the gut epithelial layer becomes more permeable, which leads to increased movement of endotoxins from inside the gut to outside creating low-grade inflammation over long periods of time. This chronic, low-grade
inflammation is characteristic of many different diseases, including type II diabetes, depression, and autoimmune diseases (De Punder and Pruimboom, 2015). One study found that the increase in circulating LPS as a consequence of a weakened gut lining creates an environment with heightened levels of immunoglobulin [Ig]-M (IgM) and IgA, important antibodies produced during the immune response. These physiological changes are also present in major depressive disorder (MDD) and may contribute to the behavioral abnormalities seen in MDD patients (Maes et al., 2008). Moreover, some studies suggest that treatment with probiotics can correct some of changes associated with increased gut permeability. In particular, offspring of immune-challenged dams who received oral treatment of Bacteroides fragilis showed decreases in gut permeability, recovered microbial composition, and recovery of stereotypic, anxiety-like, and sensorimotor behaviors (Hsiao et al., 2013). These behavioral changes resemble those in many neurodevelopmental disorders seen in humans as well.

5. Potential mechanisms mediating gut-brain-behavior communication

5.1. The immune system

The way in which microbes communicate with the brain to influence behavior is not completely understood, though the immune system may play a particularly important role in this crosstalk (Lee and Mazmanian, 2010). GF rats, for example, exhibit altered development of many aspects of the immune system, including fewer, smaller, and inactive lymph nodes and Peyer’s patches (lymphatic tissue found in the small intestine), prohibiting the immune system from responding appropriately (Hoshi et al., 1992). Further crosstalk may be happening via various microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) within the gut microbiome, including molecules such as LPS, bacterial lipoprotein (BLP), and flagellin. All of these molecules activate various parts of the immune system (e.g., macrophages), which influence the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, leading to changes in physiology and behavior (reviewed in detail in Sampson and Mazmanian, 2015). The gut has, however, a set of mechanisms to prevent the activation of the immune system by these MAMPs, though in times of increased loads (e.g., long-term inflammation), the gut lining can become more vulnerable and subject to the translocation of endotoxins into the systemic system, often leading to disease (as discussed in Section 4.2).

5.2. Neurotransmitters

In addition, neurotransmitters, such as serotonin (5-HT; 5-hydroxytryptamine), dopamine, norepinephrine, epinephrine, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and acetylcholine are produced in the gut, where they can elicit changes in physiology and behavior, however, the exact mechanisms are not known (Gareau, 2014). For example, in one study, GF mice exhibited greater anxiety-like behavior, decreased expression of 5-HT receptor 1A (5-HT 1A), and increased expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the hippocampus compared with SPF (Neufeld et al., 2011). This decrease in 5-HT 1A receptors may prevent the functioning of the serotonergic neurons, and other studies have provided evidence that the 5-HT 1A receptors are particularly important in the modulation of anxiety-like behaviors (Li et al., 2004; Savitz et al., 2009). It is likely, therefore, that the decrease in 5-HT 1A receptors would produce an increase in anxiety-like behavior in these GF mice.

Further work on catecholamines has helped us to understand more about their action in the gut. For example, in one study, catecholamine levels in the GI tract were compared across SPF mice, gnotobiotic (mice inoculated with individual known strains of microorganism), and GF mice. There were high levels of biologically active dopamine and norepinephrine in SPF mice and gnotobiotic mice inoculated with a mixture of Clostridium species, however in GF mice, catecholamine levels were lower and of biologically inactive forms (Asano et al., 2012), suggesting that these molecules may be important in regulating behavioral outputs.

Further, the presence of the gut microbiome is vital to maintaining the integrity of the blood brain barrier during development. Notably, GF mice exhibit increased permeability of the BBB during development and during adulthood, suggesting that the presence of particular microbes aids in the development of a resilient BBB. This increased BBB permeability in GF mice may be due to fewer tight junction proteins (Braniste et al., 2014).

A more direct route of interaction between the gut microbiome and the brain could include changes in serotonin and its precursors. For instance, it is possible that due to the increased permeability of the BBB during development, 5-HT could cross the BBB; it is more likely, however, that the amino acid tryptophan (5-HT’s precursor), which can more easily pass the BBB, especially when compromised, would initiate the production of 5-HT in the brain directly (OMahony et al., 2014), which could greatly influence physiology and behavior.

5.3. Bacterial by-products

Bacterial by-products can also influence the brain and behavior, however, research in this area is still in its infancy. By-products, such as short chain fatty acids (SFAs) (e.g., acetate, butyrate, propionate) can both interfere with immune responses and CNS function (Borre et al., 2014a), as well aid in the normal development of cells within the brain (Borre et al., 2014b). For example, rats treated with intraventricular infusions of propionic acid (PPA) exhibit hyperactivity, along with repetitive dystonic behaviors, such as repetitive, full body turning, and forelimb or hindlimb repeated adduction and extension, suggesting PPA may play a role in mediating some of these behaviors. Further, rats treated with PPA also show increased oxidative stress markers and activated microglia, suggesting that there is a neuroinflammatory process happening in response to the PPA treatment (Macfabe et al., 2007).

In contrast, SFAs can be particularly important in the proper development and maintenance of microglia in the brain. For example, defective microglia in GF mice can be restored by SFA treatment (sodium propionate, sodium butyrate, and sodium acetate added to drinking water), such that density and morphology are found to be similar to SPF mice after treatment (Erny et al., 2015). These studies provide evidence that there may be an important connection between microbial by-products present in the gut, the immune system, and the CNS. Further work in this area will help us to understand where these by-products come from, where they are active, and how their actions may influence normal species-specific behaviors.

5.4. Neuropeptides

Another important avenue of research aiding in our understanding of the crosstalk among the brain, microbiome, and immune system is neuropeptides, including neuropeptide Y (NPY), substance P (SP), and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) (reviewed in Holzer and Farzi, 2014). These biologically active compounds can often work in similar ways, and therefore, understanding the role that they play in this crosstalk is complicated. In one study, rats treated with intracerebroventricular injections of PPA showed increased anti-depressive behaviors (e.g., decreased escape failure in the conditioned avoidance test), and if NPY receptors were blocked, the effects were depleted (Ishida et al., 2007). In a different study, treatment with antibiotics was associated with an increase in SP immunoreactivity in the colon, but administration of Lactobacillus paracasei (a common probiotic) reduced the immunostaining in antibiotic-treated mice (Verdu et al., 2006). Further, VIP can actually protect colitis-induced epithelial damage by helping to maintain the integrity and distribution of tight junction proteins in the lining, suggesting that VIP may play a particularly important role in preventing diseases related to gut permeability.
Changes in the gut microbiome have often been associated with autism spectrum disorders (ASD), and subsets of these patients show gastrointestinal symptoms as well. Interestingly, a number of studies have suggested that targeting the gut microbiota could be a means to treat the behavioral abnormalities related to the disease (Mulle et al., 2013). In addition, many autoimmune diseases and the behavioral co-morbidities associated with them, are often sex-specific with higher prevalence in females, and further investigation into the mechanisms mediating these effects is essential (Markle et al., 2013). As mentioned in previous sections, maternal immune activation and maternal separation can have drastic effects on offspring development and behavior, with alterations in the gut microbiota a potential regulator of these changes as well. Further, there have been numerous studies investigating the role of healthy microbiota in ASD in GF models. For example, GF mice exhibit abnormal social behavior, suggesting that a healthy gut microbiome is important in the maintenance of species-typical social behavior. In particular, male GF mice spent significantly less time in a chamber containing a conspecific than they did in an empty chamber, and GF males spent less time investigating novel conspecifics when compared with familiar ones, suggesting social cognition deficits, which are often seen in many patients with ASD (Desbonnet et al., 2014). Importantly, the use of GF mice has helped make major strides in understanding the potential links between gut permeability, composition, and autism spectrum disorders, and the use of prebiotics, probiotics, and antibiotics as potential treatment options for the disorders and their behavioral abnormalities (reviewed in detail in Lyczynski et al., 2016).

7. Conclusions and future directions

Although we are beginning to determine a considerable amount about how the brain, immune system, and microbiome modulate behavior, there is still much to be learned. Because these systems do not work in isolation, it is essential to focus on how their connections work in concert to create a behavioral phenotype (Fig. 1). Further, early-life experience, including immune activation, diet, and stress, can influence the production of species-typical behaviors and potential psychopathologies later in adulthood and are therefore important to investigate in the context of the gut-brain axis. Further investigation into how the microbiome is modulating these social and affective behavioral changes could be a key factor in understanding the evolution of individuals within a species and could be of great clinical potential as well. Thus, collaboration among both basic and clinical scientists to determine the precise physiological mechanisms underlying neuroendocrine-immune-microbiome-behavior interactions will be critical for future progress in the field.
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